



Evaluation of new seed dressings for improved disease and insect control in vegetable crops

HAL Project VG04021

Final Report

6 July 2007

By

Dr Hoong Pung

Peracto Pty Ltd

ABN: 97 109 472 559

Head Office: 16 Hillcrest Road
Devonport, Tas 7310 Australia

Telephone: +61 3 6423 2044

Facsimile: +61 3 6423 4876

Email: admin@peracto.com.au

Web: www.peracto.com.au



Know-how for Horticulture™

Project Number: VG04021

Report written by: Hoong Pung

Principal Investigator: Hoong Pung
 Peracto Pty Ltd
 16 Hillcrest Road
 Devonport Tasmania 7310

Phone (03) 6423 2044
 Fax (03) 6423 4876
 Email: hpung@peracto.com.au

Report Date: 6 July 2007

Key Research Personnel

Hoong Pung, Susan Cross & Sarah Babcock Peracto Pty Ltd 16 Hillcrest Road Devonport, Tasmania 7310	Dr. Chris Monsour Peracto North Queensland 11 Grantham Street Bowen, Queensland 4805
---	---

Acknowledgements

This project has been facilitated by Horticulture Australia Limited, and has been funded by voluntary contributions from Syngenta Crop Protection Pty Ltd and the Australian Government. The assistance of Tasmanian bean growers, Garry McNab and Chris Russell of Simplot Australia Pty Ltd, Darren Briggs of McCain Food (Aust) Pty Ltd, and Lyndon Butler of Forthside Research Station, in field trial studies, is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to Henderson Seed Group Pty Ltd, McCain Food (Aust) Pty Ltd and Simplot Australia Pty Ltd for providing vegetable seeds for trial studies in this project. Peracto Pty Ltd staff, who also contributed to this project included Phillip Frost, Sarah Flynn, Kate Williams, David Kohler and Warrick Barnes.

Disclaimer

Any recommendations contained in this publication do not necessarily represent current Horticulture Australia Limited policy. No person should act on the basis of the contents of this publication, whether as to matters of fact or opinion or other content, without first obtaining specific, independent professional advice in respect of the matters set out in this publication.

Table of Contents

Media Summary	1
Technical Summary	2
A. Safety tests	2
B. Storage effects of treated seeds	2
C. Efficacies of fungicide seed dressings	2
D. Evaluations of new seed dressings in field trials	2
Recommendations	3
Introduction	4
Background	4
General Aims	4
Seed dressing product formulations	5
Fungicide seed dressings	5
Insecticide seed dressings	5
A. Safety tests of new seed dressings	6
Summary	6
Aims	6
Materials & Methods	6
Seed dressings examined in the study	7
Trial layout	9
Results	11
B. Effects of storage on treated seeds	17
Summary	17
Aims	17
Materials & Methods	17
Results	18
Discussion	19
C. Efficacies of fungicide seed dressings	20
Summary	20
Aims	20
Materials & Methods	21
Seed dressings examined in the study	21
Results	22
Discussions	29
D. Evaluations of new seed dressings in field trials	30
General Summary	30
Trial 1: Screening of new seed dressings on beans, 2004/05	31
Summary	31
Materials & Methods	31
Results	33
Discussions	34
Trial 2: Screening of new seed dressings on beans, 2005/06	35
Summary	35
Materials & Methods	35
Chronology of Events	37
Photographs	38
Results	39
Discussions	40

Trial 3: Evaluation of new seed dressing combinations on beans in a large scale study, 2005/06.....	41
Summary	41
Materials & Methods.....	41
Photographs	43
Results.....	44
Discussion	45
Trial 4: Screening seed treatments on peas, beans, pumpkins and cauliflowers under cold conditions in Tasmania, 2006.....	46
Summary	46
Materials and Methods	46
Chronology of Events	49
Soil temperature during the trial	49
Photographs	50
Results.....	52
Discussion	59
Trial 5: Screening seed treatments on peas, beans, pumpkins and cauliflowers under hot conditions in Queensland, 2006	60
Summary	60
Materials and Methods	60
Soil temperature during the trial	63
Photographs	64
Results.....	65
Discussion	71

Media Summary

Seed treatment is the most cost-effective disease and pest control method on seed and seedlings, applied at a stage when they are most vulnerable to attack by pathogens and insect pests. Seed dressings use only a small amount of chemical, so even though the new chemicals are more expensive, their use in new seed dressings is still affordable to growers. Currently, most vegetable seed treatments rely on old broad-spectrum chemicals, such as thiram, which are indiscriminate in their target organism and could be removed from use eventually. In recent years, new chemicals that are safer and can better target pests and diseases are being developed for use in broad-acre crops like wheat and canola. This project is a two-year feasibility study conducted in 2005 and 2006 to evaluate new seed dressings in vegetable crops from the major vegetable groups of legumes (green peas and green beans), brassicas (cauliflower) and cucurbits (pumpkin).

Six new fungicide dressing formulations containing the active ingredients azoxystrobin (A), fludioxonil (F), metalaxyl-M (M) and difenconazole (D), and two new insecticide seed dressings with abamectin (Ab) or thiamethoxam (Thx), were examined at different rates to identify the appropriate concentrations for use on the different vegetable groups. These new seed dressings were compared against untreated control seeds and thiram treated seeds.

This project identified two new fungicide seed dressings, containing the active ingredients, azoxystrobin + fludioxonil + metalaxyl (AFM) and fludioxonil + metalaxyl (FM), as suitable replacements for thiram seed dressings on the major vegetable crops of green peas, green beans, pumpkin (cucurbits) and cauliflowers (brassicas).

In comparison to the standard thiram seed treatments, AFM seed treatments generally give better root rot control on the major vegetable crops. AFM was also more effective than the thiram seed treatment against *Ascochyta* infections on peas, where it prevented seed rot, and reduced collar rot. FM or seed treatment with single active seed dressings of metalaxyl-M or fludioxonil were also shown to be effective in reducing root rot severity.

Seed safety tests showed that the new fungicide and insecticide seed dressings mostly have no phytotoxic effects on garden pea, green bean, cauliflower and pumpkin seeds. Generally, the new fungicide seed dressing treatments containing azoxystrobin, fludioxonil, metalaxyl and difenconazole tend to increase seedling growth, with increased average fresh shoot weights in comparison to the untreated control and the thiram seed treatment.

There was also no obvious decline in seed viability as a result of the new fungicide seed treatments in pea, bean, pumpkin and cauliflower treated seeds after a 10-month storage period. This is important, because in practice, treated seeds are often not used immediately after treatment, and can be stored for up to one year before use.

Technical Summary

Seed treatment is the most cost-effective disease and pest control method on seed and seedlings, applied at a stage when they are most vulnerable to attack by pathogens and insect pests. Seed dressings use only a small amount of chemical, so even though the new chemicals are more expensive, their use in new seed dressings is still affordable to growers. Currently, most vegetable seed treatments rely on old broad-spectrum chemicals, such as thiram, which are indiscriminate in their target organism and could be removed from use eventually. In recent years, new chemicals that are safer and can better target pests and diseases are being developed for use in broad-acre crops like wheat and canola. This project was a two-year feasibility study conducted in 2005 and 2006 to evaluate new seed dressings in vegetable crops from the major vegetable groups of legumes (green peas and green beans), brassicas (cauliflower) and cucurbits (pumpkin).

Six new fungicide dressing formulations containing the active ingredients azoxystrobin (A), fludioxonil (F), metalaxyl-M (M) and difenconazole (D), and two new insecticide seed dressings with abamectin (Ab) or thiamethoxam (Thx), were examined on green pea, green bean, cauliflower and pumpkin seeds. Various seed dressings coded according to a single or multiple actives as A, F, FM, MF, DM, AFM, Ab and Thx, were examined at three to four different rates each to identify the appropriate concentrations for use on the different vegetable groups. These new seed dressings were compared against untreated control seeds and thiram treated seeds.

A. Safety tests

Seed safety tests showed that the six new fungicide seed dressings (A, F, FM, MF, DM and AFM) and their different rates of applications had no adverse effects on seedling emergence of garden pea, green bean, cauliflower and pumpkin seeds. Generally, the new seed dressing treatments containing azoxystrobin, fludioxonil, metalaxyl and difenconazole tend to increase seedling growth, with increased average fresh shoot weights in comparison to the untreated control and the thiram seed treatment.

With new insecticide seed dressings, except for the highest rate of abamectin on pumpkin, seeds treated with different rates of abamectin or thiamethoxam showed no adverse effects on seedling germination and emergence in comparison to the untreated control. Abamectin applied at the highest rate of active at 600 g ai/100 kg pumpkin seeds appeared to delay and reduce seedling emergence.

B. Storage effects of treated seeds

Seed samples of the new fungicide and insecticide treatments from the initial safety test in Section A were stored for up to 10 months, and were shown to have no effects on seed viability after storage.

C. Efficacies of fungicide seed dressings

The effectiveness of new fungicide seed dressings against the common damping-off pathogens, *Pythium irregulare*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium culmorum*, were examined on pea, bean, pumpkin and cauliflower seeds in 2005. In general, the active ingredients used in the new seed dressing combinations are highly selective against their target pathogen. Metalaxyl-M is only effective against *Pythium*, azoxystrobin and fludioxonil are effective against *Fusarium* and *Rhizoctonia*. In contrast, thiram is not selective and is effective against all of the pathogens. The high selectivity of metalaxyl-M, fludioxonil and azoxystrobin means that they are best used in seed dressing combinations in order to extend their range of pathogen control.

D. Evaluations of new seed dressings in field trials

Two new seed dressing combinations, FM and AFM, were selected for further evaluation in four field trials. In a fifth trial, the effects of seed dressings that contain only one active ingredient (metalaxyl, fludioxonil or thiamethoxam only) and their combinations were also compared against the standard thiram seed treatment in a bean trial. Generally, the field trials demonstrated that the new seed dressing combinations were suitable alternatives to thiram in improving seedling establishment and reducing root rot incidence or severity. AFM seed treatments tended to give the greatest root rot control on the vegetable crops. AFM was more effective than FM or thiram seed treatment against *Ascochyta* infections on peas, where it prevented seed rot, and reduced collar rot. FM or seed treatment with single active seed dressings of metalaxyl-M or fludioxonil were also shown to be effective in reducing root rot severity.

Recommendations

Research conducted in this project was meant to be a feasibility study to assist in screening potential new seed dressings. While results have been very useful in identifying effective and non-toxic rates of new active ingredients and their combinations for use on vegetables, the developments of new seed dressings for specific use in vegetable seed are still evolving to suit different industry requirements. Therefore, no recommendations for commercial use could be made at this point.